Filed 03/18/2008

Page 1 of 8

Case 3:07-cv-06349-JSW Document 25

Pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rule 16-9 and the Standing Order for all Judges of the Northern District of California, Defendants Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Angelo Mozilo (collectively "Countrywide") hereby submit this Case Management Statement.¹

As the Court is aware, Defendants have moved to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, and that motion is scheduled to be heard on April 4, 2008, the same day as the Case Management Conference. Because Countrywide has not yet answered the Complaint, it has not fully considered some of the issues discussed below. However, Countrywide has provided as much information as it has at this time and would be happy to provide further information to the Court at a later conference if the Court denies its motion to dismiss.

I. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE.

Countrywide has filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint. Countrywide contends that there is no jurisdiction in this court because, as far as can be ascertained, this matter does not involve a question of federal law and is apparently based upon a contract executed in California by two California parties. In addition, the complaint is virtually incomprehensible making it difficult to determine whether the jurisdiction is appropriate in this court.

II. FACTS.

A. Plaintiffs' Claims.

Because the complaint is so obtusely worded, Countrywide is unclear what claims are exactly being made. From what Countrywide has been able to discern, plaintiffs allege that, after having submitted various documents and notices to Countrywide and receiving no response Countrywide has now somehow agreed to discharge plaintiffs' mortgage debt and has also waived its right to contest this lawsuit. Plaintiffs now appear to seek a declaratory judgment based upon an "administrative remedy."

¹ Because Plaintiff is in pro per, Defendants submit this Case Management Statement separately pursuant to Judge White's Civil Standing Orders, No. 5 and Local Rule 16-9(a).

B. Defendants' Defenses.

Because of the pending Motion to Dismiss, Countrywide has not yet answered.

Accordingly, there are no defenses on file at this time, and Countrywide reserves the right to assert affirmative defenses in the event that one or both Defendants are required to answer the Complaint. However, in general and despite the fact that most of these facts are nowhere alleged in the Complaint, the most important facts of which Defendants are aware at this time are:

- 1. On or about April 4, 2006, plaintiffs Luz-Maria Urzua and Cesar Anchante-Martinetti refinanced their existing property located at 3785 North Lake Road, Merced, California 95340 with a new loan in the original principal amount of \$607,500.00. The first payment on this loan was due June 1, 2006, and the loan is paid to May 1, 2007. The property is currently in foreclosure. The notice of default was recorded on September 28, 2007. The notice of sale was published and recorded three months later, and the sale is currently scheduled for March 20, 2008, at 3:00 p.m.
- 2. Urzua and Anchante-Martinetti own a second property located at 6787 Hillsview Drive, Vacaville, California 95688. That property is encumbered by a second position loan currently held by Countrywide Bank, FSB. That loan is dated July 18, 2006, and is in the original amount of \$200,000.00. The loan is currently paid to May 2007, and is in default. A foreclosure sale has not yet begun on this loan.

III. <u>LEGAL ISSUES</u>.

At this point, due to the confusing allegations of the Complaint, Countrywide is unable to determine what legal issues, if any, are presented.

IV. MOTIONS.

Countrywide has filed a motion to dismiss, which motion is scheduled to be heard on April 4, 2008, with other motions to dismiss. If that motion is unsuccessful, Countrywide will move for summary judgment following discovery.

	Ф 250 3	3:07-cv-06349-JSW Document 25 Filed 03/18/2008 Page 4 of 8			
	Case 3	07-CV-00349-33VV Document 23 Tilled 03/10/2000 Page 4 01 0			
1	V.	AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS.			
2		Countrywide does not intend to file a complaint, or other pleadings seeking relief.			
3					
4	VI.	EVIDENCE PRESERVATION.			
5	ļ	Although it is not sure what exactly is being alleged, Countrywide has taken steps to			
6	preser	ve all documents it has regarding the mortgage loans taken out by Luz-Maria Urzua and			
7	Cesar	Anchante-Martinetti.			
8					
9	VII.	DISCLOSURES.			
0		A. <u>By Plaintiff</u> :			
.1	***************************************	As of the date of this Statement, Countrywide has not received the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26			
2	disclos	sures from Plaintiffs and Countrywide has not served its Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 disclosures.			
3		B. <u>By Defendants</u> :			
.4		Should this matter continue, Defendants intend to take both Ms. Urzua and Mr.			
.5	Martin	netti's depositions, and serve document requests, interrogatories and request for admissions			
6	upon b	both parties. At this time, Countrywide has not considered what other discovery it may			
7	need but it does not anticipate a significant number of depositions or requests.				
.8					
9	VIII.	DISCOVERY.			
0.		As of the date of this statement, no discovery has been taken.			
.1					
22	IX.	<u>CLASS ACTIONS</u> .			
.3		Countrywide is unaware of any plans to request certification of a class.			
:4					
	1				

X. RELATED CASES.

On January 15, 2008, Judge Joseph C. Spero determined these cases, all pending the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, to be related:

C 07-05903 Urzua v. Countrywide Bank, et al.

	Case 3	:07-cv-06349-JSW	Document 25	Filed 03/18/2008	Page 5 of 8
1		C 07-05906	Urzua v. America	's Servicing Company,	, et al.
2		C-07-05931	Urzua v. World Saving, et al.		
3		C-07-05932	Urzua, et al. v. Co	ountrywide Bank, et al.	
4		C-07-06349	Urzua, et al. v. Co	ountrywide Home Loar	as, et al.
5					
6	XI.	RELIEF.			
7		Countrywide is unable to determine what relief is being sought in the complaint.			in the complaint.
8	Countrywide seeks dismissal of the action in its entirety.				
9					
10	XII. <u>SETTLEMENT AND ADR</u> .				
11	Countrywide does not see the value of settlement discussions so long as Plaintiffs				
12	continue operating under the mistaken assumption that their "administrative remedy" somehow				
13	erases their mortgage debt. Plaintiffs owe to Countrywide a significant amount of money.				
14					
15	ХШ.	CONSENT TO MAC	SISTRATE JUDGE	FOR ALL PURPOSE	<u>SS</u> .
16		Countrywide consent	s to a magistrate ju	dge to conduct all furth	ner proceedings including
17	trial and entry of judgment.				
18					
19	XIV.	OTHER REFERENCE	CES.		
20		Countrywide does no	t believe this case i	s suitable for binding a	arbitration, a special master
21	or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.				
22					
23	XV.	NARROWING OF IS	SSUES.		
24		At this moment, Cour	ntrywide is unawar	e of any issues which c	an be narrowed. Given
25	the nature of Plaintiffs' complaint, it is unlikely that Countrywide will be able to stipulate or				
26	agree t	to any matter alleged.			
27					
28					

	s:\ss\\lc\Urzua-LM\cmc-state-chl			NT.	

1	XVI.	EXPEDITED SCHEDULE.	
2		Countrywide believes this matter should not be handled on an expedited schedule.	
3			
4	XVII.	SCHEDULING.	
5		Countrywide believes its motion to dismiss, scheduled to be heard on April 4, 2008, is a	
6	well taken motion and should be granted. Countrywide therefore believes that no further		
7	scheduling will be necessary.		
8			
9	XVIII.	TRIAL.	
10		Countrywide does not believe this matter, as framed in the complaint, warrants a trial.	
11			
12	XIX.	DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS.	
13		Countrywide is a wholly owned subsidiary of Countrywide Financial Corporation, which	
14	is publicly traded on the New York stock exchange under the symbol CFC. Bank of America		
15	and Countrywide recently announced merger plans and Countrywide, including the affiliate at		
16	issue in this trial, is likely to become a part of Bank of America, and its family of services, during		
17	the thi	rd quarter of 2008.	
18		Clabal A	
19	DATE	ED: March 17, 2008 By: SANFORD SHATZ	
20		Attorneys for Defendants Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and	
21		Angelo Mozilo [sued erroneously as "Angelo	
22	re-remarks of effectivity	Mancello"]	
23	-		
24	**************************************		
25			
26			
27 28			
20			
	s:\ss\lc\U	Jrzua-LM\cmc-state-chi – 6 –	

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

Case 3:07-cv-06349-JSW Document 25 Filed 03/18/2008 Page 6 of 8

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed by Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. My business address is 5220 Las Virgenes Road, MS: AC-11, Calabasas, California 91302.

On March 16, 2008, I served CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT on each person or entity name below by enclosing a copy in an envelope addressed as shown below and placing the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown below following our ordinary office practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of this office for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

Date of Mailing:

March $\frac{9}{2008}$, 2008

Place of Mailing:

Calabasas, California

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on March 18, 2008, at Calabasas, California.

Desiree Rais

	SERVICE LIST
Luz-Maria Urzua 6787 Hillsview Drive	Cesar Anchante-Martinetti 6787 Hillsview Drive
Vacaville, California 95688	Vacaville, California 95688
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Luz-Maria Urzua	Cesar Anchante-Martinetti
419 Mason Street, Suite 208 Vacaville, California 95688	3785 North Lake Road Merced, California 95340
vacavine, camorna 33000	Microca, Camornia 95540
John J. Kralik, IV	Cesar Anchante-Martinetti
Michael B. Wilson	324 Stevenson Street
Kralik & Jacobs 650 North Sierra Madre Villa Ave.	Vacaville, California 95688
Suite 302	Cesar Anchante-Martinetti
Pasadena, CA 91107	419 Mason Street, Suite 208
	Vacaville, California 95688
Jack R. Nelson	Company No. 12 (1)
Keith David Yandell Reed Smith LLP	Cesar Anchante-Martinetti 3801 North Lake Rd.
1999 Harison Street, Suite 2400	Merced, CA 95340
Oakland, CA 94612	
1	
s:\ss\lc\Urzua-LM\cmc-state-chl	-8- AGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT